

# A FUNCTION ON THE HOMOLOGY OF 3-MANIFOLDS

VLADIMIR TURAEV

ABSTRACT. In analogy with the Thurston norm, we define for an orientable 3-manifold  $M$  a numerical function on  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . This function measures the minimal complexity of folded surfaces representing a given homology class. A similar function is defined on the torsion subgroup of  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . These functions are estimated from below in terms of abelian torsions of  $M$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most beautiful invariants of a 3-dimensional manifold  $M$  is the Thurston semi-norm on  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$ , see [8]. The geometric idea leading to this semi-norm is to consider the minimal genus of a surface in  $M$  realizing any given 2-homology class of  $M$ . Thurston's definition of the semi-norm uses a suitably normalized Euler characteristic of the surface rather than the genus. The Thurston semi-norm is uninteresting for a rational homology sphere  $M$ , since then  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ . However, a rational homology sphere may have non-trivial 2-homology with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Homology classes in  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  can be realized by folded surfaces, locally looking like unions of several half-planes in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with common boundary line. It is natural to consider "smallest" folded surfaces in a given homology class.

We use this train of ideas to define for an arbitrary orientable 3-manifold  $M$  (not necessarily a rational homology sphere) a function

$$\theta : H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ = \{r \in \mathbb{R} \mid r \geq 0\}.$$

This function measures the "minimal" normalized Euler characteristic of a folded surface representing a given class in  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ .

Using the boundary homomorphism

$$d : H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(M) = H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}),$$

whose image is equal to  $\text{Tors } H_1(M)$ , we derive from  $\theta$  a function

$$\Theta : \text{Tors } H_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

by  $\Theta(u) = \inf_{x \in d^{-1}(u)} \theta(x)$  for any  $u \in \text{Tors } H_1(M)$ . One can view  $\Theta(u)$  as a "normalized minimal genus" of oriented knots in  $M$  representing  $u$ . If  $M$  is a rational homology sphere, then  $d$  is an isomorphism and  $\Theta = \theta \circ d^{-1}$ .

We give an estimate of the function  $\theta$  from above in terms of the Thurston semi-norm on knot complements in  $M$ . This estimate implies that  $\theta$  is bounded from above and is upper semi-continuous with respect to a natural topology on  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . (I do not know whether  $\theta$  is continuous.) The functions  $\theta$  and  $\Theta$  are also estimated from below using abelian torsions of  $M$ . These estimates are parallel

---

*Date:* February 2, 2008.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M25.

to the McMullen [6] estimate of the Thurston semi-norm in terms of the Alexander polynomial.

In contrast to the Thurston semi-norm, the function  $\theta$  is non-homogeneous, that is in general  $\theta(kx) \neq k\theta(x)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . Examples show that the function  $\theta$  may not satisfy the triangle inequality.

The Thurston semi-norm of a 3-manifold  $M$  is fully determined by the Heegaard-Floer homology of  $M$ , see [7], and by the Seiberg-Witten monopole homology of  $M$ , see [4]. It would be interesting to obtain similar computations of the functions  $\theta$  and  $\Theta$ .

The organization of the paper is as follows. We introduce the functions  $\theta$  and  $\Theta$  in Section 2 and estimate them from above in Section 3. In Section 4 these functions are estimated from below in the case where the first Betti number of the 3-manifold is non-zero. A similar estimate for rational homology spheres is given in Section 5. In Section 6 we describe a few examples. In Section 7 we make several miscellaneous remarks.

Throughout the paper, the unspecified group of coefficients in homology is  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

## 2. FOLDED SURFACES AND THE FUNCTIONS $\theta, \Theta$

**2.1. Folded surfaces.** By a *folded surface* (without boundary), we mean a compact 2-dimensional polyhedron such that each point has a neighborhood homeomorphic to a union of several half-planes in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with common boundary line. Such a neighborhood is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R} \times \Gamma_n$  where  $n$  is a positive integer and  $\Gamma_n$  is a union of  $n$  closed intervals with one common endpoint and no other common points.

The *interior*  $\text{Int}(X)$  of a folded surface  $X$  consists of the points of  $X$  which have neighborhoods homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Clearly,  $\text{Int}(X)$  is a 2-dimensional manifold. The *singular set*  $\text{sing}(X) = X - \text{Int}(X)$  of  $X$  consists of a finite number of disjoint circles. A neighborhood of a component of  $\text{sing}(X)$  in  $X$  fibers over this component with fiber  $\Gamma_n$  for some  $n \neq 2$ .

Cutting out  $X$  along  $\text{sing}(X)$  we obtain a compact 2-manifold (with boundary)  $X_{\text{cut}}$ . Each component of  $\text{Int}(X)$  is the interior of a component of  $X_{\text{cut}}$ . Set  $\chi_-(X) = \sum_Y \chi_-(Y)$ , where  $Y$  runs over all components of  $X_{\text{cut}}$  and

$$\chi_-(Y) = \max(-\chi(Y), 0).$$

The number  $\chi_-(X) \geq 0$  measures the complexity of  $X$ . It is equal to zero if and only if all components of  $X_{\text{cut}}$  are either spheres or tori or annuli or disks.

By an *orientation* of a folded surface  $X$ , we mean an orientation of the 2-manifold  $\text{Int}(X)$ . An orientation of  $X$  allows us to view  $X$  as a singular 2-chain with integer coefficients. This 2-chain is denoted by the same letter  $X$ . Its boundary expands as  $\sum_K i(K) \langle K \rangle$  where  $K$  runs over connected components of  $\text{sing}(X)$ , the symbol  $\langle K \rangle$  denotes a 1-cycle on  $K$  representing a generator of  $H_1(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $i(K) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Multiplying, if necessary, both  $\langle K \rangle$  and  $i(K)$  by  $-1$ , we can assume that  $i(K) \geq 0$ . In this way the integer  $i(K)$  is uniquely determined by  $K$ . It is called the *index* of  $K$  in  $X$ . For  $K$  with  $i(K) \neq 0$ , the 1-cycle  $\langle K \rangle$  determines an orientation of  $K$ . We say that this orientation is *induced* by the one on  $X$ .

We call a folded surface  $X$  *simple* if it is oriented, the set  $\text{sing}(X)$  is homeomorphic to a circle, and its index in  $X$  is non-zero. This index is denoted  $i_X$ . Note

that  $X$  is not required to be connected; however, all components of  $X$  but one are closed oriented 2-manifolds.

**2.2. Representation of 2-homology by folded surfaces.** Let  $M$  be an orientable 3-manifold. By a folded surface in  $M$ , we mean a folded surface *embedded* in  $M$ . Given a simple folded surface  $X$  in  $M$ , the 2-chain  $(i_X)^{-1}X$  with rational coefficients is a 2-cycle modulo  $\mathbb{Z}$ . This cycle represents a homology class in  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  denoted  $[X]$ .

The short exact sequence of groups of coefficients  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$  induces an exact homology sequence

$$(1) \quad \cdots \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(M) \rightarrow H_1(M; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

The homomorphism  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(M)$  in this sequence will be denoted  $d_M$  and called the *boundary homomorphism*. The exactness of (1) implies that the image of  $d_M$  is equal to the group  $\text{Tors } H_1(M)$  consisting of all elements of  $H_1(M)$  of finite order.

For a simple folded surface  $X$  in  $M$ , the homomorphism  $d_M$  sends  $[X]$  into the 1-homology class represented by the circle  $\text{sing}(X)$  with orientation induced by the one on  $X$ .

For example, if  $X \subset M$  is a compact oriented 2-manifold with connected non-void boundary, then  $X$  is a simple folded surface with  $\text{sing}(X) = \partial X$ ,  $i_X = 1$ , and  $[X] = 0$ . Another example: consider an unknotted circle  $K$  lying in a 3-ball in  $M$  and pick  $n \neq 2$  closed 2-disks bounded by  $K$  in this ball and having no other common points. We orient these disks so that the induced orientations on  $K$  are the same. The union of these disks,  $X = X(n)$ , is a simple folded surface with  $\text{sing}(X) = K$ ,  $i_X = n$ , and  $[X] = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Any homology class  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  can be represented by a simple folded surface.*

*Proof.* Set  $d = d_M : H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(M)$ . We can represent  $d(x) \in \text{Tors } H_1(M)$  by an oriented embedded circle  $K \subset \text{Int}(M) = M - \partial M$ . Pick an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $n d(x) = 0$ . The standard arguments, using the Poincaré duality and transversality, show that there is a simple folded surface  $X$  in  $M$  such that  $\text{sing}(X) = K$  and  $i_X = n$ .

Since both  $X$  and  $M$  are orientable, the 1-dimensional normal bundle of  $\text{Int}(X)$  in  $M$  is trivial. Keeping  $\text{sing}(X)$  and pushing  $X - \text{sing}(X)$  in a normal direction, we obtain a “parallel” copy  $X_1$  of  $X$  such that  $X \cap X_1 = \text{sing}(X_1) = \text{sing}(X) = K$ . The orientation of  $X$  induces an orientation of  $X_1$  in the obvious way. Repeating this process  $k \geq 1$  times, we can obtain  $k$  parallel copies  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k$  of  $X$  meeting each other exactly at  $K$ . Then  $X^{(k)} = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_k$  is a simple folded surface such that  $\text{sing}(X^{(k)}) = K$  and  $i_{X^{(k)}} = nk$ . It follows from the construction that  $[X^{(k)}] = [X] \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

The equalities  $d(x) = [K] = d([X])$  imply that  $x - [X] \in \text{Ker } d = \text{Im } j$ , where  $j$  is the homomorphism  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  induced by the projection  $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Pick  $y \in j^{-1}(x - [X]) \subset H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$ . There is an integer  $k \geq 1$  such that  $ky$  lies in the image of the coefficient homomorphism  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$ . *A fortiori*, the homology class  $nk y$  lies in this image. We represent  $nk y$  by a closed oriented (possibly non-connected) surface  $\Sigma \subset M$ . Since  $d(x) \in \text{Tors } H_1(M)$ , the intersection number  $\Sigma \cdot K = \Sigma \cdot d(x)$  is 0. Applying if necessary surgeries of index

1 to  $\Sigma$ , we can assume that  $\Sigma \cap K = \emptyset$ . Then  $y$  is represented by the 2-cycle  $(nk)^{-1}\Sigma$  in  $M - K$  and  $x = [X] + j(y) = [X^{(k)}] + j(y)$  is represented by the 2-cycle  $(nk)^{-1}(X^{(k)} + \Sigma) \bmod \mathbb{Z}$ . Applying to  $X^{(k)}$  and  $\Sigma$  the usual cut and paste technique, we can transform their union into a simple folded surface  $Z$  such that  $\text{sing}(Z) = \text{sing}(X^{(k)}) = K$  and  $i_Z = nk$ . Clearly,  $[Z] = x$ .  $\square$

**2.3. Functions  $\theta$  and  $\Theta$ .** For an orientable 3-dimensional manifold  $M$ , we define a function  $\theta = \theta_M : H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by

$$(2) \quad \theta(x) = \inf_X \frac{\chi_-(X)}{i_X},$$

where  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  and  $X$  runs over all simple folded surfaces in  $M$  representing  $x$ . In particular, the class  $x = 0$  can be represented by the simple folded surface  $X = X(n) \subset M$  with  $n \neq 2$ , constructed before Lemma 2.1. The equality  $\chi_-(X) = 0$  implies that  $\theta(0) = 0$ .

For a simple folded surface  $X$ , denote by  $-X$  the same simple folded surface with opposite orientation in its interior. The obvious equalities

$$[-X] = -[X], \quad \chi_-(-X) = \chi_-(X), \quad i_{-X} = i_X$$

imply that  $\theta(-x) = \theta(x)$  for all  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ .

We define a function  $\Theta = \Theta_M : \text{Tors } H_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by

$$(3) \quad \Theta(u) = \inf_{x \in d^{-1}(u)} \theta(x) = \inf_X \frac{\chi_-(X)}{i_X},$$

where  $u \in \text{Tors } H_1(M)$ ,  $X$  runs over all simple folded surfaces in  $M$  such that the circle  $\text{sing}(X)$  represents  $u$ , and  $d : H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(M)$  is the boundary homomorphism. In (3), we can restrict ourselves to connected  $X$ . Indeed, all components of  $X$  disjoint from  $\text{sing}(X)$  are closed oriented surfaces. They may be removed from  $X$  without increasing  $\chi_-(X)$ .

The properties of  $\theta$  imply that  $\Theta(0) = 0$  and  $\Theta(-u) = \theta(u)$  for all  $u \in \text{Tors } H_1(M)$ . By the very definition of  $\Theta$ , for all  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$\theta(x) \geq \Theta(d(x)).$$

Using folded surfaces with boundary, we can similarly define relative versions

$$H_2(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tors } H_1(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

of the functions  $\theta$  and  $\Theta$ . We will not study them in this paper.

**2.4. Constructions and examples.** 1. Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed connected 2-manifold embedded in an oriented 3-manifold  $M$ . Let  $K \subset \Sigma$  be a simple closed curve such that  $\Sigma - K$  has an orientation which switches to the opposite when one crosses  $K$  in  $\Sigma$ . (Such an orientation exists when  $\Sigma$  is orientable and  $K$  splits  $\Sigma$  into two surfaces or when  $\Sigma$  is non-orientable and  $K$  represents the Stiefel-Whitney class  $w^1(\Sigma) \in H^1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = H_1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ .) The orientations of  $M$  and  $\Sigma - K$  induce an orientation of the normal bundle of  $\Sigma - K$  in  $M$ . Keeping  $K$  and pushing  $\Sigma - K$  in the corresponding normal direction, we obtain a copy  $\Sigma'$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $\Sigma'$  transversely meets  $\Sigma$  along  $K$ . The union  $X = \Sigma \cup \Sigma'$  is a simple folded surface such that  $\text{sing}(X) = K$  and  $i_X = 4$ . Then  $\theta([X]) \leq (1/4) \chi_-(X) = (1/2) \chi_-(\Sigma - K)$ .

For example, we can apply this construction to the projective plane  $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}P^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}P^3$  taking as  $K$  a projective circle on  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ . The resulting simple folded surface  $X$  represents the only non-zero element  $x$  of  $H_2(\mathbb{R}P^3; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  because  $\text{sing}(X)$

represents the non-zero element of  $H_1(\mathbb{R}P^3) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . The equality  $\chi_-(\Sigma - K) = 0$  implies that  $\theta_{\mathbb{R}P^3} = 0$  and  $\Theta_{\mathbb{R}P^3} = 0$ .

2. Consider the 3-dimensional lens space  $M = L(p, q)$ , where  $p, q$  are co-prime integers with  $p \geq 2$ . The manifold  $M$  splits as a union of two solid tori with common boundary. It is easy to exhibit a folded surface  $X \subset M$  such that  $\text{sing}(X)$  is the core circle of one of the solid tori and  $X - \text{sing}(X)$  is a disjoint union of  $p$  open 2-disks. This implies that the function  $\Theta_M$  annihilates the elements of  $H_1(M)$  represented by the core circles of the solid tori. Under an appropriate isomorphism  $H_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , these elements correspond to  $1 \pmod{p}$  and  $q \pmod{p}$ . This implies that  $\Theta_M = 0$  if  $p = 2$  or  $p = 3$  or  $p = 5, q = 2$ . For  $p = 2$ , we recover the previous example, since  $L(2, 1) = \mathbb{R}P^3$ .

3. Let  $K$  be an oriented homologically trivial knot in an oriented 3-manifold  $N$ . Let  $M$  be obtained by a  $(p, q)$ -surgery on  $K$  where  $p, q$  are co-prime integers with  $p \geq 2$ . Thus,  $M$  is obtained by cutting out a tubular neighborhood  $U \subset N$  of  $K$  and gluing it back along a homeomorphism  $\partial U \rightarrow \partial U$  mapping the meridian  $\mu \subset \partial U$  of  $K$  onto a curve on  $\partial U$  homological to  $p\mu + q\lambda$ , where  $\lambda \subset \partial U$  is the longitude of  $K$  homologically trivial in  $N - K$ . The element  $u \in H_1(M)$  represented by the (oriented) core circle of the solid torus  $U \subset M$  has finite order. This follows from the fact that the  $p$ -th power of the core circle is homotopic in  $U \subset M$  to  $\lambda \subset \partial U$ . We claim that  $\Theta(u) = 0$  if  $K$  is a trivial knot in  $N$  and  $\Theta(u) \leq p^{-1}(2g - 1)$  if  $K$  is a non-trivial knot of genus  $g \geq 1$ . Indeed, the longitude  $\lambda$  bounds in  $N - \text{Int}(U)$  an embedded compact connected oriented surface of genus  $g$ . This surface extends in the obvious way to a simple folded surface  $X$  in  $M$  such that  $\text{sing}(X)$  is the core circle of  $U \subset M$  and  $i_X = p$ . Clearly,  $\chi_-(X) = \max(2g - 1, 0)$ . This implies our claim. (For  $p = 2$ , one should “double”  $X$  along  $\text{sing}(X)$  as in Example 1.) As we shall see below, if  $K$  is a non-trivial fibred knot and  $p \geq 4g - 2$ , then  $\Theta(u) = p^{-1}(2g - 1)$ .

### 3. ESTIMATES FROM ABOVE AND SEMI-CONTINUITY

In this section we estimate the function  $\theta = \theta_M$  from above using the Thurston norm. Throughout this section,  $M$  is a connected orientable 3-manifold (possibly, non-compact).

**3.1. Comparison with the Thurston norm.** Recall first the definition of the Thurston semi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_M$  on  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$ . The Poincaré duality (applied to compact submanifolds of  $M$ ) implies that the abelian group  $H_2(M) = H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$  has no torsion. We shall view  $H_2(M)$  as a lattice in the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_2(M)$ . For any  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$ , there is an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $nx \in H_2(M)$ . Then  $\|x\|_M = n^{-1} \min_{\Sigma} \chi_-(\Sigma) \in \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\Sigma$  runs over all closed oriented embedded surfaces in  $M$  representing  $nx$ . The number  $\|x\|_M$  does not depend on the choice of  $n$  and is always realized by a certain  $\Sigma$ . Using surfaces in  $M$  with boundary on  $\partial M$ , one similarly defines the Thurston semi-norm on  $H_2(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $j$  be the coefficient homomorphism  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\theta(j(x)) \leq \|x\|_M$  for any  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed oriented embedded surface in  $M$  representing  $nx \in H_2(M)$  with  $n \geq 3$ . The surface  $\Sigma$  is an oriented folded surface with empty singular set. Consider a folded surface  $X = X(n)$  inside a 3-ball in  $M - \Sigma$ , as constructed before

Lemma 2.1. The union  $Z = X \cup \Sigma$  is a simple folded surface representing  $x$  and  $i_Z = i_X = n$ . By the definition of  $\theta$ ,

$$\theta(j(x)) \leq n^{-1} \chi_-(Z) = n^{-1} \chi_-(\Sigma).$$

Therefore  $\theta(j(x)) \leq \|x\|_M$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $K$  be an oriented knot in  $M$ . Set  $N = M - K$  and let  $\iota$  be the inclusion homomorphism  $H_2(N; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $j$  be the coefficient homomorphism  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . Then for any simple folded surface  $X$  in  $M$  with  $\text{sing}(X) = K$  and any  $y \in H_2(N; \mathbb{Q})$ ,*

$$(4) \quad \theta([X] + j\iota(y)) \leq (i_X)^{-1} \chi_-(X) + \|y\|_N.$$

*Proof.* Set  $n = i_X$  and let  $k$  be a positive integer such that  $ky \in H_2(N) \subset H_2(N; \mathbb{Q})$ . It is enough to prove that for any closed oriented surface  $\Sigma \subset N$  representing  $ky$ ,

$$(5) \quad \theta([X] + j\iota(y)) \leq n^{-1} \chi_-(X) + (nk)^{-1} \chi_-(\Sigma).$$

This can be reformulated in terms of the simple folded surface  $X^{(k)}$  as

$$\theta([X^{(k)}] + j\iota(y)) \leq (nk)^{-1} (\chi_-(X^{(k)}) + \chi_-(\Sigma)).$$

Therefore it is enough to prove that for any simple folded surface  $T$  in  $M$  with  $\text{sing}(T) = K$  and  $i_T = nk$ ,

$$(6) \quad \theta([T] + j\iota(y)) \leq (nk)^{-1} (\chi_-(T) + \chi_-(\Sigma)).$$

Suppose first that  $T$  is *compressible* in  $N = M - K$  in the sense that there is an embedded closed 2-disk  $D \subset N$  such that  $T \cap D = \partial D \subset T - K$  and the circle  $\partial D$  does not bound a 2-disk in  $T - K$ . The surgery on  $T$  along  $D$  yields a simple folded surface  $T_D$  with  $[T_D] = [T]$  and  $\chi_-(T_D) < \chi_-(T)$ . Applying this procedure several times, we can reduce (6) to the case where  $T$  is incompressible, i.e.,  $T$  admits no disks  $D$  as above. By the same reasoning, we can assume that  $\Sigma$  is incompressible in  $N$  (it may be compressible in  $M$ ). The homology class  $[T] + j\iota(y) \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is represented by the 2-cycle  $(nk)^{-1} T \cup \Sigma \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Deforming  $\Sigma$  in  $N$  so that it meets  $T$  transversely and applying to  $T \cup \Sigma$  the usual cut and paste technique, we can transform  $T \cup \Sigma$  into a simple folded surface  $Z$  with  $\text{sing}(Z) = \text{sing}(T) = K$  and  $i_Z = nk$ . Clearly,  $[Z] = [T] + j\iota(y)$ . The folded surface  $Z$  may have spherical components (that is components homeomorphic to  $S^2$ ) created from pieces of  $T - K$  and  $\Sigma$  by cutting and pasting. One of these pieces will necessarily be a 2-disk  $D$  such that either  $D \subset T - K$  and  $D \cap \Sigma = \partial D$  or  $D \subset \Sigma$  and  $D \cap (T - K) = \partial D$ . In the first case the incompressibility of  $\Sigma$  implies that the circle  $\partial D$  bounds a disk on  $\Sigma$ . The surgery on  $\Sigma$  along  $D$  yields a surface  $\Sigma_+ \approx \Sigma \amalg S^2$  homologous to  $\Sigma$  in  $N$ . Then  $\chi_-(\Sigma_+) = \chi_-(\Sigma)$  and the 1-manifold  $T \cap \Sigma_+$  has one component less than  $T \cap \Sigma$ . Similarly, if  $D \subset \Sigma$ , then the incompressibility of  $T - K$  implies that  $\partial D$  bounds a disk on  $T - K$ . The surgery on  $T$  along  $D$  yields a simple folded surface  $T_+ \approx T \amalg S^2$  such that  $[T_+] = [T]$ ,  $\chi_-(T_+) = \chi_-(T)$ , and the 1-manifold  $T_+ \cap \Sigma$  has one component less than  $T \cap \Sigma$ . Continuing in this way, we can reduce ourselves to the case where  $Z$  does not have spherical components except the spherical components of  $T$  disjoint from  $\Sigma$  and the spherical components of  $\Sigma$  disjoint from  $T$ . A similar argument allows us to assume that the components of  $Z - K$  are not disks except the disk components of  $T - K$  disjoint from  $\Sigma$ . Then

the additivity of the Euler characteristic under cutting and pasting implies that  $\chi_-(Z) = \chi_-(T) + \chi_-(\Sigma)$ . Therefore

$$\theta([T] + j\iota(y)) \leq (nk)^{-1}\chi_-(Z) = (nk)^{-1}(\chi_-(T) + \chi_-(\Sigma)).$$

This proves (6), (5), and (4).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $M$  is compact, then there is a number  $C > 0$  (depending on  $M$ ) such that  $\theta(x) \leq C$  for all  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $d = d_M : H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(M)$ . Since the group  $\text{Im } d = \text{Tors } H_1(M)$  is finite, it is enough to prove that for every  $u \in \text{Tors } H_1(M)$ , the values of  $\theta$  on the elements of the set  $d^{-1}(u)$  are bounded from above.

Consider first the case  $u = 0$ . Then  $d^{-1}(u) = \text{Im } j$  where  $j$  is the coefficient homomorphism  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . We need to prove that the values of  $\theta \circ j$  are bounded from above. Since  $M$  is compact, the group  $H_2(M)$  is finitely generated. Pick a basis  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  in  $H_2(M)$  and let  $Q \subset H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$  be the cube consisting of the vectors  $r_1 a_1 + \dots + r_n a_n$  with rational non-negative  $r_1, \dots, r_n \leq 1$ . The supremum  $s = \sup_{x \in Q} \|x\|_M$  is a finite number, because the Thurston semi-norm extends to a continuous semi-norm on  $H_2(M; \mathbb{R})$  and the closure of  $Q$  in  $H_2(M; \mathbb{R})$  is compact. We claim that  $\theta(j(x)) \leq s$  for any  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$ . Indeed, there is  $a \in H_2(M)$  such that  $x + a \in Q$ . Then  $j(x) = j(x + a)$  and  $\theta(j(x)) = \theta(j(x + a)) \leq s$ .

Consider now the case  $u \neq 0$ . Pick an oriented knot  $K \subset M$  representing  $u$  and a simple folded surface  $X$  in  $M$  with  $\text{sing}(X) = K$ . Then  $d^{-1}(u) = \{[X] + j\iota(y)\}_y$  where  $\iota$  is the inclusion homomorphism  $H_2(M - K; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$  and  $y$  runs over  $H_2(M - K; \mathbb{Q})$ . The rest of the argument goes as in the case  $u = 0$  using Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

**3.2. Semi-continuity.** For compact  $M$ , the group  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  has a natural topology as follows. The image of the coefficient homomorphism  $j : H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  can be identified with the quotient  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})/H_2(M)$ . Provide  $\text{Im}(j)$  with the quotient topology induced by the standard topology in the finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$ . This extends to a topology in  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  by declaring a set  $U \subset H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  open if  $(a + U) \cap \text{Im}(j)$  is open in  $\text{Im}(j)$  for all  $a \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . Recall that an  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued function  $f$  on a topological space  $A$  is *upper semi-continuous* if for any point  $a \in A$  and any real  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a neighborhood  $U \subset A$  of  $a$  such that  $f(U) \subset (-\infty, f(a) + \varepsilon)$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *For compact  $M$ , the function  $\theta = \theta_M$  is upper semi-continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $X$  be a simple folded surface in  $M$  representing  $a$  and such that  $(i_X)^{-1}\chi_-(X) \leq \theta(a) + \varepsilon/2$ . Set  $K = \text{sing}(X)$  and  $N = M - K$ . Let  $\iota : H_2(N; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$  be the inclusion homomorphism. Put

$$V = \{y \in H_2(N; \mathbb{Q}) \mid \|y\|_N < \varepsilon/2\}.$$

The set  $V$  is open in  $H_2(N; \mathbb{Q})$  since the Thurston norm is continuous. The set  $\iota(V)$  is open in  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$  since  $\iota$  is an epimorphism. The set  $j\iota(V)$  is open in  $\text{Im}(j)$  by definition of the topology in  $\text{Im}(j)$ . Finally, the set  $U = a + j\iota(V)$  is an open neighborhood of  $a$  in  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  by definition of the topology in  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . By (4),  $\theta(U) \subset (-\infty, \theta(a) + \varepsilon)$ . Hence  $\theta$  is upper semi-continuous.  $\square$

4. ESTIMATES FROM BELOW: THE CASE  $b_1 \geq 1$ 

In this section we give an estimate from below for the functions  $\theta = \theta_M$  and  $\Theta = \Theta_M$  of a 3-manifold  $M$  with non-zero first Betti number  $b_1(M)$ .

We begin with preliminaries on group rings and abelian torsions of 3-manifolds.

**4.1. Preliminaries.** Let  $H$  be a finitely generated abelian group written in multiplicative notation. Any element  $a$  of the group ring  $\mathbb{Q}[H]$  expands uniquely in the form  $a = \sum_{h \in H} a_h h$ , where  $a_h \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $a_h = 0$  for all but finitely many  $h$ . We say that an element  $h \in H$  is *a-basic* if  $a_h \neq 0$ . The (finite) set of *a*-basic elements of  $H$  is denoted  $B_a$ . The element  $\sum_{h \in \text{Tors } H} h$  of  $\mathbb{Q}[H]$  will be denoted  $\Sigma_H$ . Clearly,  $B_{\Sigma_H} = \text{Tors } H$ .

The classical ring of quotients of  $\mathbb{Q}[H]$  that is, the (commutative) ring obtained by inverting all non-zero-divisors of  $\mathbb{Q}[H]$  is denoted  $Q(H)$ . It is known that  $\mathbb{Q}[H]$  splits as a direct sum of domains. Therefore  $Q(H)$  splits as a direct sum of fields and the natural ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Q}[H] \rightarrow Q(H)$  is an embedding. We identify  $\mathbb{Q}[H]$  with its image under this embedding. Note that if  $H$  is a finite abelian group, then  $Q(H) = \mathbb{Q}[H]$ .

Let  $M$  be a compact connected 3-manifold. From now on, we use multiplicative notation for the group operation in  $H = H_1(M)$ . In particular, the neutral element of  $H$  is denoted 1. The manifold  $M$  gives rise to a *maximal abelian torsion*  $\tau(M)$  which is an element of  $Q(H)$  defined up to multiplication by  $-1$  and elements of  $H$ , see [10, 11]. If  $b_1(M) \geq 2$ , then all representatives of  $\tau(M)$  belong to  $\mathbb{Z}[H] \subset \mathbb{Q}[H] \subset Q(H)$ . We express this by writing  $\tau(M) \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$ . If  $b_1(M) = 1$  and  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\tau(M) \in \mathbb{Z}[H] + \Sigma_H \cdot Q(H)$ . This implies that  $(h - 1)\tau(M) \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$  for all  $h \in \text{Tors } H$  (indeed  $(h - 1)\Sigma_H = 0$ ).

If  $M$  is oriented and  $b_1(M) \geq 2$ , then the Thurston semi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_M$  on  $H_2(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Q})$  can be estimated in terms of  $\tau(M)$  as follows (see [10]): for any  $s \in H_2(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Q})$  and any representative  $a \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$  of  $\tau(M)$ ,

$$(7) \quad \|s\|_M \geq \max_{h, h' \in B_a} |h \cdot s - h' \cdot s|,$$

where  $h \cdot s \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the intersection index of  $h$  and  $s$ . Note that the right hand side of (7) does not depend on the choice of  $a$  in  $\tau(M)$ .

**4.2. An estimate for  $\theta_M$ .** The function  $\theta$  will be estimated in terms of spans of subsets of  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . The *span*  $\text{spn}(A)$  of a finite set  $A \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is a rational number defined as the minimal length of an interval in  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  containing  $A$ , that is the minimal rational number  $t \geq 0$  such that for some  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , the projection of the set  $[r, r + t] \cap \mathbb{Q}$  into  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  contains  $A$ . Clearly,  $1 > \text{spn}(A) \geq 0$  and  $\text{spn}(A) = 0$  if and only if  $A$  is empty or has only one element.

Given an oriented 3-manifold  $M$  and a homology class  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ , we set for any  $a \in \mathbb{Q}[H_1(M)]$ ,

$$\text{spn}_x(a) = \text{spn}(\{h \cdot x\}_{h \in B_a}),$$

where  $h \cdot x \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is the intersection index of  $h$  and  $x$ . Clearly,  $1 > \text{spn}_x(a) \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a compact connected oriented 3-manifold with  $b_1(M) \geq 1$ . Set  $H = H_1(M)$  and let  $\tau \in Q(H)$  be a representative of the torsion  $\tau(M)$ . Let  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  and  $u = d_M(x) \in H$ . Then  $(u - 1)\tau \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$  and*

$$(8) \quad \theta_M(x) \geq \text{spn}_x((u - 1)\tau).$$



*Proof.* If  $b_1(M) \geq 2$ , then  $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$  and  $(u-1)\tau \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$ . The inclusion  $u \in \text{Tors } H$  and the remarks in Section 4.1 imply that  $(u-1)\tau \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$  for  $b_1(M) = 1$  as well.

We prove (8). Let  $X$  be a simple folded surface in  $M$  representing  $x$ . The knot  $\text{sing}(X) \subset M$  endowed with orientation induced from the one on  $X$  represents  $u \in \text{Tors } H$ . Let  $E$  be the exterior of this knot in  $M$ . The homological sequence of the pair  $(M, E)$  and the inclusion  $u \in \text{Tors } H$  imply that  $b_1(E) \geq b_1(M) + 1 \geq 2$ . Therefore  $\tau(E) \in \mathbb{Z}[H_1(E)]$ . Pick a representative  $a \in \mathbb{Z}[H_1(E)]$  of  $\tau(E)$ . Denote by  $\iota$  the inclusion homomorphism  $H_1(E) \rightarrow H_1(M) = H$  and denote  $\iota_*$  the induced ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}[H_1(E)] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[H]$ . By [10], Theorem VII.1.4,  $\iota_*(a) = (u-1)b$  where  $b$  is a representative of  $\tau(M)$ . Note that the right hand side of (8) does not depend on the choice of  $\tau$  in  $\tau(M)$ . Therefore without loss of generality we can assume that  $\tau = b$ .

Deforming, if necessary,  $X$  in  $M$ , we can assume that  $S = X \cap E$  is the complement in  $X$  of a regular neighborhood of  $\text{sing}(X)$ . Then  $S$  is a proper surface in  $E$  and  $\chi_-(X) = \chi_-(S)$ . The orientation of  $\text{Int}(X)$  induces an orientation of  $S$ . The oriented surface  $S$  represents a relative homology class  $s \in H_2(E, \partial E)$ . By (7),

$$\chi_-(X) = \chi_-(S) \geq \max_{h, h' \in B_a} |h \cdot s - h' \cdot s|$$

where  $B_a \subset H_1(E)$  is the set of  $a$ -basic elements. Let  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  be the minimal element of the set  $\{h \cdot s\}_{h \in B_a}$ . Then

$$\{h \cdot s\}_{h \in B_a} \subset [r, r + \chi_-(X)].$$

Denote the projection  $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\pi$ . Observe that for any  $h \in H_1(E)$ ,

$$\iota(h) \cdot x = \pi \left( \frac{h \cdot s}{i_X} \right).$$

Therefore

$$\{\iota(h) \cdot x\}_{h \in B_a} \subset \pi \left( \left[ \frac{r}{i_X}, \frac{r + \chi_-(X)}{i_X} \right] \right).$$

The equality  $\iota_*(a) = (u-1)\tau$  implies that  $B_{(u-1)\tau} \subset \iota(B_a)$ . Hence

$$\{g \cdot x\}_{g \in B_{(u-1)\tau}} \subset \{\iota(h) \cdot x\}_{h \in B_a} \subset \pi \left( \left[ \frac{r}{i_X}, \frac{r + \chi_-(X)}{i_X} \right] \right).$$

Therefore

$$\text{spn}_x((u-1)\tau) \leq (i_X)^{-1} \chi_-(X).$$

Since this holds for all simple folded surfaces  $X$  representing  $x$ , we have (8).  $\square$

**4.3. An estimate for  $\Theta_M$ .** Let  $M$  and  $H$  be as in Theorem 4.1. To estimate the function  $\Theta_M : \text{Tors } H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ , we need the linking form  $L_M : \text{Tors } H \times \text{Tors } H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  of  $M$ . It is defined by  $L_M(h, g) = h \cdot x \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  where  $x$  is an arbitrary element of  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  mapped to  $g$  by the boundary homomorphism  $d : H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H$ . The pairing  $L_M$  is well defined, bilinear, and symmetric.

Given  $u \in \text{Tors } H$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Q}[H]$ , set

$$\text{spn}_u(a) = \text{spn}(\{L_M(h, u)\}_{h \in B_a \cap \text{Tors } H}).$$

Clearly,  $\text{spn}_x(a) \geq \text{spn}_{d(x)}(a)$  for any  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  and any  $a \in \mathbb{Q}[H]$ . This and Theorem 4.1 imply that, under the conditions of this theorem,

$$(9) \quad \Theta_M(u) \geq \text{spn}_u((u-1)\tau),$$

for any  $u \in \text{Tors } H$  and any representative  $\tau$  of  $\tau(M)$ . Generally speaking, the right-hand side of (9) depends on the choice of  $\tau$ .

**4.4. Remark.** Estimate (7) strengthens the McMullen [6] estimate of the Thurston norm via the Alexander polynomial. For recent more general estimates of this type, see Friedl [3].

## 5. ESTIMATES FROM BELOW: THE CASE OF $\mathbb{Q}$ -HOMOLOGY SPHERES

For  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology spheres, the functions  $\theta$  and  $\Theta$  contain the same information and it is enough to give an estimate for  $\Theta$ . We begin with preliminaries on refined torsions and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology spheres, referring for details to [10], Chapters I and X.

**5.1. Refined torsions.** The maximal abelian torsion  $\tau(M)$  of a compact connected 3-manifold  $M$  admits a refinement  $\tau(M, e, \omega) \in Q(H_1(M))$  depending on an orientation  $\omega$  in the vector space  $H_*(M; \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H_i(M; \mathbb{Q})$  and an Euler structure  $e$  on  $M$ . An Euler structure on  $M$  is determined by a non-singular vector field on  $M$  directed outside on  $\partial M$ . Two such vector fields determine the same Euler structure if for a point  $x \in \text{Int}(M)$ , the restrictions of these fields to  $M - \{x\}$  are homotopic in the class of non-singular vector field on  $M - \{x\}$  directed outside on  $\partial M$ . The set of Euler structures on  $M$  is denoted  $\text{Eul}(M)$ . This set admits a canonical free transitive action of the group  $H_1(M)$ . The torsion  $\tau(M, e, \omega)$  satisfies  $\tau(M, he, \pm\omega) = \pm h \tau(M, e, \omega)$  for any  $e \in \text{Eul}(M)$ ,  $h \in H_1(M)$ . The unrefined torsion  $\tau(M)$  is just the set  $\{\pm \tau(M, e, \omega)\}_{e \in \text{Eul}(M)}$ . If  $\partial M = \emptyset$ , then the set  $\text{Eul}(M)$  can be identified with the set of  $\text{Spin}^c$ -structures on  $M$ .

**5.2. Homology spheres.** Let  $M$  be an oriented 3-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology sphere. Denote  $\omega_M$  the orientation in  $H_*(M; \mathbb{Q}) = H_0(M; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H_3(M; \mathbb{Q})$  determined by the following basis: (the homology class of a point, the fundamental class of  $M$ ).

The group  $H = H_1(M)$  is finite and the linking form  $L_M : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is non-degenerate in the sense that the adjoint homomorphism  $H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism. Recall that we use multiplicative notation for the group operation in  $H$ . Every Euler structure  $e \in \text{Eul}(M)$  determines a torsion  $\tau(M, e, \omega_M) \in Q(H) = \mathbb{Q}[H]$ . The linking form  $L_M$  can be computed from this torsion by

$$(10) \quad L_M(h, g) = -\pi(((1-h)(1-g)\tau(M, e, \omega_M))_1) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

for all  $h, g \in H$ , where  $\pi$  is the projection  $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  and for any  $a \in \mathbb{Q}[H]$ , the symbol  $a_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$  denotes the coefficient of the neutral element  $1 \in H$  in the expansion of  $a$  as a formal linear combination of elements of  $H$  with rational coefficients. The Euler structure  $e$  determines a function  $q_e : H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  by

$$(11) \quad q_e(u) = \pi(((1-u)\tau(M, e, \omega_M))_1),$$

for any  $u \in H$ . It follows from (10), (11) that  $q_e$  is quadratic in the sense that  $q_e(hg) = q_e(h) + q_e(g) + L_M(h, g)$  for all  $h, g \in H$ . Formula (11) also implies that

$$(12) \quad q_{he}(u) = q_e(u) + L_M(h, u),$$

for any  $h \in H$ .

If  $u \in H$  has order  $n$  (i.e.,  $n$  is the minimal positive integer such that  $u^n = 1$ ), then by [10], Section X.4.3 there is a unique residue  $K(e, u) \in \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$(13) \quad q_e(u) = \frac{K(e, u)}{2n} + \frac{1}{2} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Formula (12) implies that the residue  $K(e, u) \pmod{2}$  does not depend on  $e$ . We say that  $u$  is *even* if this residue is 0 and *odd* if it is 1.

Every homology class  $u \in H$  gives rise to a group

$$G = G_u = \{g \in H \mid L_M(u, g) = 0\} \subset H.$$

The non-degeneracy of  $L_M$  implies that the quotient  $H/G$  is a finite cyclic group whose order is equal to the order,  $n$ , of  $u$  in  $H$ . Moreover, there is an element  $v = v_u \in H$  such that  $L_M(u, v) = n^{-1} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Such  $v$  is determined by  $u$  uniquely up to multiplication by elements of  $G$ . The inclusion  $v^n \in G$  implies that the order,  $p$ , of  $v$  is divisible by  $n$  (in particular,  $p \geq n$ ). Set

$$(14) \quad \alpha_v = \frac{1 + 2v + 3v^2 + \dots + pv^{p-1}}{p} - \frac{p+1}{2} \cdot \frac{1 + v + \dots + v^{p-1}}{p}.$$

This element of  $\mathbb{Q}[H]$  can be uniquely characterized by the following property: for any ring homomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $\mathbb{Q}[H]$  to a field,  $\varphi(v) = 1 \Rightarrow \varphi(\alpha_v) = 0$  and  $\varphi(v) \neq 1 \Rightarrow \varphi(\alpha_v) = (\varphi(v) - 1)^{-1}$ . In [10] we used the notation  $(v - 1)_{\text{par}}^{-1}$  for  $\alpha_v$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $M$  be an oriented 3-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology sphere. Let  $u$  be an element of  $H = H_1(M)$  of order  $n \geq 1$ . Set  $G = \{g \in H \mid L_M(u, g) = 0\}$  and  $\Sigma_G = \sum_{g \in G} g \in \mathbb{Z}[G] \subset \mathbb{Z}[H]$ . Pick any  $v \in H$  such that  $L_M(u, v) = n^{-1} \pmod{1}$ . For  $e \in \text{Eul}(M)$ , set*

$$a_e(u) = (u - 1) \tau(M, e, \omega_M) - \frac{v^{K(e, u)/2} (v + 1)}{2} \alpha_v \Sigma_G \in \mathbb{Q}[H],$$

*if  $u$  is even and*

$$a_e(u) = (u - 1) \tau(M, e, \omega_M) - v^{(K(e, u) + 1)/2} \alpha_v \Sigma_G \in \mathbb{Q}[H],$$

*if  $u$  is odd. Then for any  $e \in \text{Eul}(M)$ ,*

$$\Theta_M(u) \geq \text{spn}_u(a_e(u)) = \text{spn}(\{L_M(h, u)\}_{h \in B_{a_e(u)}}).$$

*Proof.* If  $u$  is even (resp. odd), then  $K(e, u) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$  is even (resp. odd). Therefore the power of  $v$  in the definition of  $a_e(u)$  is well defined up to multiplication by  $v^n$ . However,  $v^n \in G$  and  $v^n \Sigma_G = \Sigma_G$ . Therefore the right hand sides of the formulas for  $a_e(u)$  are well defined. If  $v'$  is another element of  $H$  such that  $L_M(u, v') = n^{-1} \pmod{1}$ , then  $v' \in vG$  and  $v'^k \Sigma_G = (v')^k \Sigma_G$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore  $a_e(u)$  does not depend on the choice of  $v$ . It is easy to see that  $a_{he}(u) = h a_e(u)$  for all  $h \in H$ . Therefore the number  $\text{spn}_u(a_e(u))$  does not depend on  $e$ .

Let  $X \subset M$  be a simple folded surface representing the 2-homology class  $x = d_M^{-1}(u) \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . The knot  $K = \text{sing}(X)$  with orientation induced from the one on  $X$  represents  $u \in H_1(M)$ . Let  $E$  be the exterior of  $K$  in  $M$ . Clearly  $b_1(E) = 1$ . Fix an orientation  $\omega$  in  $H_*(E; \mathbb{Q})$  and an Euler structure  $e_K$  on  $E$ . The torsion  $\tau(E, e_K, \omega) \in Q(H_1(E))$  can be canonically expanded as a sum of a certain  $[\tau] = [\tau](E, e_K, \omega) \in \mathbb{Q}[H_1(E)]$  with an element of  $Q(H_1(E))$  given by an explicit formula using solely  $\omega$  and the Chern class of  $e_K$ , see [10], Section II.4.5. The inclusion homomorphism  $\mathbb{Q}[H_1(E)] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[H_1(M)]$  sends  $[\tau]$  to  $\pm a_e(u)$  for some  $e \in \text{Eul}(M)$ , see [10], Formula X.4.d. The inequality (7) holds for any  $s \in H_2(E, \partial E; \mathbb{Q})$  and  $a = [\tau]$ , see [10], Chapter IV. The rest of the argument goes as the proof of Theorem 4.1 with  $\tau$  replaced by  $[\tau]$ . This gives  $(i_X)^{-1} \chi_-(X) \geq \text{spn}_x(a_e(u)) = \text{spn}_u(a_e(u))$ . Since this holds for all  $X$  representing  $x$ , we have  $\Theta_M(u) = \theta_M(x) \geq \text{spn}_u(a_e(u))$ .  $\square$

**5.3. Remarks.** 1. Let  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$  be the additive group of integers and half-integers. In Theorem 5.1,  $a_e(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$  if  $u$  is even and  $a_e(u) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}[H]$  if  $u$  is odd. This follows from the proof of this theorem and the inclusion  $[\tau] \in \mathbb{Z}[H_1(E)]$  if  $u$  is even and  $[\tau] \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}[H_1(E)]$  if  $u$  is odd.

2. It is proven in [2] that the function  $q_e : H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  derived from the torsion coincides with the quadratic function defined geometrically in [5], [1].

## 6. EXAMPLES

**6.1. Lens spaces.** The computation of the abelian torsions for the lens space  $M = L(p, q)$  goes back to K. Reidemeister, see, for instance, [9] for an introduction to the theory of torsions. Let  $t, t^q$  be the generators of  $H = H_1(M)$  represented by the core circles of the two solid tori forming  $M$ . For an appropriate choice of an orientation on  $M$  and an Euler structure  $e$  on  $M$ , we have  $\tau(M, e, \omega_M) = \alpha_t \alpha_{t^q}$ , where  $\alpha_v \in \mathbb{Q}[H]$  is defined by (14) for any  $v \in H$ . This allows us to compute  $a_e(u)$  for any  $u \in H$  and to apply Theorem 5.1. We give here a few examples.

Consider the lens space  $M = L(5, 1)$ . By Sections 2.3,  $\Theta(t^4) = \Theta(t) = \Theta(1) = 0$  and  $\Theta(t^2) = \Theta(t^3)$ . We show that  $\Theta(t^2) \geq 1/5$ . We have

$$\alpha_t = \frac{-2 - t + t^3 + 2t^4}{5}.$$

Then

$$\tau = \tau(M, e, \omega_M) = \alpha_t^2 = \frac{t + t^2 - 2t^4}{5}.$$

A direct computation shows that  $L_M(t, t) = -(1 - t)^2 \tau)_1 = 1/5$  and  $q_e(t^2) = ((1 - t) \tau)_1 = 0$ . Note that  $u = t^2$  has order 5 in  $H$ . From (13),  $K(e, u) = 5 \pmod{10}$ . Therefore  $u$  is odd. The associated group  $G_u$  is trivial,  $v = v_u = t^3$ , and

$$a_e(u) = (u - 1) \tau - v^3 \alpha_v = t^4 - t.$$

Since  $L_M(t^4, u) = 3/5 \pmod{1}$  and  $L_M(t, u) = 2/5 \pmod{1}$ , the span of the set  $\{L_M(h, u)\}_{h \in B_{a_e(u)}}$  is equal to  $1/5$ . By Theorem 5.1,  $\Theta(t^2) \geq 1/5$ . In this example, the function  $\Theta : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  takes non-zero values only on  $t^2$  and  $t^3$ . This function is non-homogeneous and does not satisfy the triangle inequality.

Consider the lens space  $M = L(6, 1)$ . Then

$$\alpha_t = \frac{-5 - 3t - t^2 + t^3 + 3t^4 + 5t^5}{12},$$

$$\tau = \alpha_t^2 = \frac{-5 + 13t + 19t^2 + 13t^3 - 5t^4 - 35t^5}{72},$$

and  $L_M(t, t) = 1/6$ . For  $u = t^2$ , the computations similar to the ones above give  $q_e(u) = 0 \pmod{1}$ ,  $K(e, u) = 3 \pmod{6}$ ,  $G_u = \{1, t^3\}$ ,  $v_u = t$ , and  $a_e(u) = t^5 - t$ . Theorem 5.1 yields  $\Theta(t^2) \geq 1/3$ . For  $u = t^3$ , we similarly obtain  $q_e(u) = 3/4 \pmod{1}$ ,  $K(e, u) = 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $G_u = \{1, t^2, t^4\}$ ,  $v_u = t$ , and  $a_e(u) = t^5 - t^2$ . Theorem 5.1 yields  $\Theta(t^3) \geq 1/2$ .

**6.2. Surgeries on knots.** Let  $L$  be an oriented knot in an oriented 3-dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}$ -homology sphere  $N$ . Let  $M$  be the closed oriented 3-manifold obtained by surgery on  $N$  along  $L$  with framing  $p \geq 2$ . Let  $u \in H = H_1(M)$  be the homology class of the meridian of  $L$  whose linking number with  $L$  is  $+1$ . Clearly,  $H$  is a cyclic group of order  $p$  with generator  $u$  and  $L_M(u, u) = p^{-1} \pmod{1}$ . We explain now how to

estimate  $\Theta(u)$  in terms of the Alexander polynomial of  $L$ . We will see that in some cases this estimate is exact.

Recall that the *span*  $\text{spn}(\Delta)$  of a non-zero Laurent polynomial  $\Delta = \sum_i a_i t^i \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$  is the number  $\max\{i \mid a_i \neq 0\} - \min\{i \mid a_i \neq 0\}$ . Let  $\Delta = \Delta_L(t)$  be the Alexander polynomial of  $L$  normalized so that  $\Delta(t^{-1}) = \Delta(t)$  and  $\Delta(1) = 1$ . Expand  $\Delta(t) = 1 + (t-1)\beta(t)$  where  $\beta(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ . We claim that the expression  $a_e(u) \in \mathbb{Q}[H]$  defined in Theorem 5.1 is equal to  $\beta(u)$  for an appropriate Euler structure  $e$  on  $M$ . By Theorem 5.1, this will imply that  $\Theta(u) \geq \text{spn}_u(\beta(u))$ . For example, if  $p \geq 2\text{spn}(\beta)$ , then  $\text{spn}_u(\beta(u)) = p^{-1}\text{spn}(\beta) = p^{-1}(\text{spn}(\Delta) - 1)$ . Therefore  $\Theta(u) \geq p^{-1}(\text{spn}(\Delta) - 1)$ . On the other hand, by Section 2.4.3,  $\Theta(u) \leq p^{-1}(2g - 1)$ , where  $g$  is the genus of  $K$ . In particular, if  $\text{spn}(\Delta) = 2g > 0$  (for instance, if  $K$  is a non-trivial fibred knot) and  $p \geq 4g - 2$ , then  $\Theta(u) = p^{-1}(2g - 1)$ .

We now verify the claim above. Set  $\tau = \alpha_u^2 \Delta(u) \in \mathbb{Q}[H]$ . It is easy to deduce from the multiplicativity of the torsions that  $\tau(M, e, \omega_M) = \tau$  for a certain orientation on  $M$  and a certain Euler structure  $e$  on  $M$  (for details, see [10], Formula X.5.e). Set  $\sigma = 1 + u + u^2 + \cdots + u^{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$ . Clearly,  $\sigma u^k = \sigma$  for any integer  $k$ . Therefore for any integer 1-variable polynomial  $f$ , the product  $\sigma f(u)$  is equal to  $\text{aug}(f)\sigma$  where  $\text{aug}(f) = f(1)$  is the sum of coefficients of  $f$ . Since  $\text{aug}(\alpha_u) = 0$ , we have  $\sigma \alpha_u = 0$ . A direct computation shows that  $(1-u)\alpha_u = \sigma/p - 1$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (1-u)\tau &= (1-u)\alpha_u^2 \Delta(u) = (\sigma/p - 1)\alpha_u \Delta(u) = -\alpha_u \Delta(u) \\ &= -\alpha_u + \alpha_u(1-u)\beta(u) = -\alpha_u + (\sigma/p - 1)\beta(u) = -\alpha_u - \beta(u), \end{aligned}$$

where we use the equality  $\text{aug}(\beta) = 0$  which follows from the symmetry of  $\Delta$ . Thus,

$$q_e(u) = ((1-u)\tau)_1 = -(\alpha_u)_1 = (p-1)/2p \pmod{1}.$$

Formula (13) implies that  $K(e, u) = -1 \pmod{2p}$ . In particular,  $u$  is odd.

We also have

$$(1-u)^2\tau = (1-u)(-\alpha_u - \beta(u)) = 1 - \sigma/p - (1-u)\beta(u).$$

Hence

$$L_M(u, u) = -((1-u)^2\tau)_1 = p^{-1} \pmod{1}.$$

This shows that the orientation of  $M$  chosen so that  $\tau(M, e, \omega_M) = \tau$  is actually the one induced from the orientation on  $N$ . The equality  $L_M(u, u) = p^{-1} \pmod{1}$  implies that  $v_u = u$  and  $G_u = 1$ . We conclude that

$$a_e(u) = (u-1)\tau - \alpha_u = \alpha_u + \beta(u) - \alpha_u = \beta(u).$$

**6.3. Surgeries on 2-component links.** Let  $M$  be a closed oriented 3-manifold obtained by surgery on a 2-component oriented link  $L = L_1 \cup L_2$  in an oriented 3-dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}$ -homology sphere  $N$ . Suppose that the linking number of  $L_1, L_2$  in  $N$  is 0, the framing of  $L_1$  is  $p \neq 0$ , and the framing of  $L_2$  is 0. Then  $H = H_1(M) = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})u_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}u_2$ , where  $u_i \in H$  is the homology class of the meridian of  $L_i$  whose linking number with  $L_i$  is  $+1$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . The Alexander polynomial of  $L$  has the form

$$\Delta_L(t_1, t_2) = f(t_1, t_2)(t_1 - 1)(t_2 - 1)$$

for some Laurent polynomial  $f(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}]$ . Both  $\Delta_L$  and  $f$  are defined only up to multiplication by  $-1$  and monomials on  $t_1, t_2$ . By [10], Formula VIII.4.e, the torsion  $\tau(M)$  is represented by

$$\tau = f(u_1, u_2) \pm \Delta_{L_2}(u_2) u_2^n (u_2 - 1)^{-2} \Sigma_H \in Q(H)$$

for an appropriate sign  $\pm$  and an integer  $n$ , both depending on the choice of  $f$ . Here  $\Delta_{L_2}$  is the Alexander polynomial of  $L_2$  normalized as in Section 6.2. Pick  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  and set  $u = d(x) \in \text{Tors } H$ . Since  $(u - 1)\Sigma_H = 0$ , Theorem 4.1 implies that

$$(15) \quad \theta(x) \geq \text{spn}_x((u - 1)f(u_1, u_2)).$$

For sufficiently big  $p$ , the span on the right hand side does not depend on  $p$ .

Note another curious phenomenon. Suppose for simplicity that  $f(t_1, t_2) = 1$  (a constant polynomial). Then  $\theta(x) \geq \text{spn}_x(u - 1)$ . If  $u = d(x) \neq 1$ , then the set  $B_{u-1} \subset H$  consists of two elements  $u, 1$  and

$$\text{spn}_x(u - 1) = \text{spn}(\{u \cdot x, 0\}) = \text{spn}(\{L_M(u, u), 0\}).$$

For  $u = u_1^k$  with  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ , we have  $L_M(u, u) = k^2/n \pmod{1}$ . For  $k < \sqrt{n/2}$ , we obtain  $\text{spn}(\{L_M(u, u), 0\}) = k^2/n$ . Thus  $\Theta(u_1^k) \geq k^2/n$ . This suggests that the number  $\Theta(u_1^k)$ , considered as a function of  $k$ , may behave like a quadratic function for small values of  $k$ .

## 7. MISCELLANEOUS

**7.1. Quasi-simple folded surfaces.** One can use a larger class of folded surfaces to represent 2-homology classes. Let us call a folded surface  $X$  *quasi-simple* if it is oriented,  $\text{sing}(X) \neq \emptyset$ , and the indices of all components of  $\text{sing}(X)$  in  $X$  are equal to each other and non-zero. Denote the common value of these indices  $i_X$ . In particular, simple folded surfaces are quasi-simple.

For a quasi-simple folded surface  $X$  in a 3-manifold  $M$ , the 2-chain  $(i_X)^{-1}X$  is a 2-cycle mod  $\mathbb{Z}$  representing a homology class  $[X] \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . We claim that

$$(16) \quad \theta([X]) \leq i_X^{-1} \chi_-(X) + b_0(\text{sing}(X)) - 1$$

where  $b_0(\text{sing}(X))$  is the number of components of  $\text{sing}(X)$ . Indeed,  $X$  can be modified in a neighborhood of  $\text{sing}(X)$  so that each point of  $\text{sing}(X)$  is adjacent to exactly  $i_X$  local branches of  $\text{Int}(X)$  (which then induce the same orientation on  $\text{sing}(X)$ ). Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph with two vertices and  $i_X$  edges connecting these vertices. Given an embedded arc in  $M$  with endpoints on different components of  $\text{sing}(X)$  and with interior in  $M - X$ , we can modify  $X$  by cutting it out along  $\text{sing}(X)$  near the endpoints and gluing in  $\Gamma \times [0, 1]$  along the arc. This gives a quasi-simple folded surface,  $Z$ , such that

$$b_0(\text{sing}(Z)) = b_0(\text{sing}(X)) - 1, \quad i_Z = i_X, \quad [Z] = [X], \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_-(Z) \leq \chi_-(X) + i_X.$$

Modifying  $X$  in this way, we can reduce ourselves to the case where  $\text{sing}(X)$  is connected. In this case (16) follows from the definition of  $\theta$ . It may happen that there are no distinct components of  $\text{sing}(X)$  connected by an arc with interior in  $M - X$ . This occurs if each arc joining distinct components of  $\text{sing}(X)$  has to cross the closed 2-manifold  $X_0$  formed by the components of  $X$  disjoint from  $\text{sing}(X)$ . To circumvent this obstruction, we first modify  $X_0$  so that  $X - X_0$  is contained in a connected component of  $M - X_0$ , cf. [10], p. 60.

Formula (16) implies that for any  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$(17) \quad \theta(x) = \inf_X \left( \frac{\chi_-(X)}{i_X} + b_0(\text{sing}(X)) \right) - 1,$$

where  $X$  runs over all quasi-simple folded surfaces in  $M$  representing  $x$ .

**7.2. Coverings.** Let  $M$  be a compact oriented 3-manifold and  $p : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$  be an  $n$ -fold (unramified) covering. Let  $p^* : H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  be the following composition of the duality isomorphisms and the pull back

$$H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(\widetilde{M}, \partial \widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Then for any  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$\theta_{\widetilde{M}}(p^*(x)) + 1 \leq n(\theta_M(x) + 1).$$

This follows from (17) and the fact that if a simple folded surface  $X$  in  $M$  represents  $x$ , then  $p^{-1}(X) \subset \widetilde{M}$  is a quasi-simple folded surface representing  $p^*(x)$ .

**7.3. Norms associated with links.** A link  $L$  in an oriented 3-manifold  $M$  determines a semi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{M,L}$  on  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$  as follows. Let  $U \subset M$  be a regular neighborhood of  $L$  and  $E = \overline{M - U}$  the exterior of  $L$ . We can embed  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$  into  $H_2(E, \partial E; \mathbb{Q})$  via the inclusion homomorphism

$$H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow H_2(M, L; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_2(M, U; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_2(E, \partial E; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Restricting the Thurston semi-norm on  $H_2(E, \partial E; \mathbb{Q})$  to  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$ , we obtain the semi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{M,L}$ . The arguments as above allow us to estimate the latter semi-norm from below for compact  $M$ . Namely, if  $L$  has  $m \geq 1$  components and  $h_1, \dots, h_m \in H = H_1(M)$  are their homology classes, then

$$\|x\|_{M,L} \geq \text{spn}_x\left(\prod_{i=1}^m (h_i - 1) \tau\right)$$

for any  $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$  and any  $\tau \in Q(H)$  representing  $\tau(M)$  in the case  $b_1(M) \geq 2$  and representing  $[\tau](M)$  in the case  $b_1(M) = 1$ . A similar construction can be used to derive a function on  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  from the function  $\theta$  on  $H_2(E, \partial E; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . It would be interesting to see whether these semi-norms and functions may be used to distinguish non-isotopic links.

**7.4. Open questions.** Is the infimum in (2) realizable by a simple folded surface? Does  $\theta$  take only rational values? A positive answer to the first question certainly implies a positive answer to the second one. Similar questions can be asked for  $\Theta$ .

It would be interesting to compute the function  $\Theta$  for the lens spaces. Is it true that for the lens spaces, the inequality in Theorem 5.1 is an equality?

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Deloup, On abelian quantum invariants of links in 3-manifolds. *Math. Ann.* 319 (2001), 759–795.
- [2] F. Deloup, G. Massuyeau, Reidemeister-Turaev torsion modulo one of rational homology three-spheres. *Geom. Topol.* 7 (2003), 773–787.
- [3] S. Friedl, Reidemeister torsion, the Thurston norm and Harvey’s invariants. *math.GT/0508648*.
- [4] P. Kronheimer, T. Mrowka, Scalar curvature and the Thurston norm. *Math. Res. Lett.* 4 (1997), 931–937.
- [5] E. Looijenga, J. Wahl, Quadratic functions and smoothing surface singularities. *Topology* 25 (1986), 261–291.
- [6] C. McMullen, The Alexander polynomial of a 3-manifold and the Thurston norm on cohomology. *Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup.* (4) 35 (2002), 153–171.
- [7] P. Ozsváth, Z. Szabó, Holomorphic disks and genus bounds. *Geom. Topol.* 8 (2004), 311–334.
- [8] W. Thurston, A norm for the homology of 3-manifolds. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 59 (1986), no. 339, i–vi and 99–130.

- [9] V. Turaev, Introduction to combinatorial torsions. Notes taken by Felix Schlenk. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [10] V. Turaev, Torsions of 3-dimensional manifolds. Progress in Mathematics, 208. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002.
- [11] L. Nicolaescu, The Reidemeister torsion of 3-manifolds. de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 30. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2003.

IRMA, CNRS ET UNIVERSITÉ LOUIS PASTEUR, 7 RUE RENÉ DESCARTES, 67084 STRASBOURG  
CEDEX, FRANCE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405, USA